The Crystal Structure of KI. Hg(CN)₂*

By F. H. KRUSE

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, New Mexico, U.S.A.

(Received 28 May 1962)

Crystals of KI. $Hg(CN)_2$ are orthorhombic:

 $a_0 = 4.412, b_0 = 16.190, c_0 = 9.690 \text{ Å} (all \pm 0.004 \text{ Å}), Z = 4.$

Of the possible space groups, $Cmc2_1$, C2cm and Cmcm, the centric group Cmcm was selected. The crystal structure was refined to R = 0.097 using Mo Ka radiation with counter data out to 2θ of 50° .

Linear $Hg(CN)_2$ groups are incorporated normal to sheets consisting of iodine atoms weakly bound to the central mercury atom. The sheets are puckered at a 140° dihedral angle about the strings of iodines parallel to a_0 . Each mercury atom is coordinated to four iodine atoms and conversely each iodine atom is surrounded by four mercury atoms. Hg–I bond lengths are 3.383 Å, lying between the 2.75 Å predicted for covalent single bond radii and the 3.70 Å from van der Waals contact radii. The Hg–C distance of 2.079 Å is near the 1.986 Å measured in Hg(CN)₂ but is shorter than 2.20 Å predicted for single bond covalent radii. Adjacent sheets are held together by the K⁺ ions.

Introduction

In the system $Hg(CN)_2$.KI. H_2O or HgI_2 .KCN. H_2O the only complex solid phase obtained is KI. $Hg(CN)_2$ (Aggarwal & Mehrota, 1958). Infra-red absorption spectra of the solid phase, KI. $Hg(CN)_2$, and of aqueous solutions of this and related mercuric cyanide complexes have been obtained at this laboratory (Penneman & Jones, 1961). The crystal structure analysis of KI. $Hg(CN)_2$ is the first of a series of investigations of the crystal chemistry of complex cyanides and halides.

Experimental

Potassium iododicyanomercurate(II) was prepared by evaporating at room temperature an aqueous solution containing potassium iodide and mercuric cyanide in the molar ratio 1:1. KI. Hg(CN)₂ formed from solution as colorless lath-shaped crystals. Recrystallized material kept in a closed container remains stable for long periods of time. However, $KI.Hg(CN)_2$ decomposed on exposure to X-rays in a photochemical process which apparently occurred over a period of four to six weeks rendering the crystals metallic black in color. It was also noticed that some samples, when left in an open dish in laboratory atmosphere, developed a crust of red HgI2 crystals. Thermogravimetric analysis of pure, fresh KI.Hg(CN)₂ showed no composition change in the sample until the melting point was approached.

X-ray diffraction data and optical properties are given in Table 1. Partial X-ray powder diffraction

Table 1. KI. Hg(CN)₂ crystallographic data

X-Ray diffraction data

Diffraction symmetry: Includes space groups $Cmc2_1(C_{2v}^{12})$, $C2cm(C_{2v}^{16})$, $Cmcm(D_{2h}^{17})$. Studies suggest Cmcm to be the correct space group assignment.

Cell dimensions: $a_0 = 4.412$; $b_0 = 16.190$; $c_0 = 9.690$ Å. ($\lambda Cu K \alpha = 1.5418$ Å).

 $a_0:b_0:c_0 = 0.273:1:0.599;$ cell volume = 692·2 Å³. Formula weights per cell: 4. Formula weight: 422·4. Density: 3.9_4 g.cm.⁻³ (X-ray); 4.0_4 g.cm.⁻³ (pycnometric).

Optical properties

Biaxial negative.

Refractive indices (5893 Å):

 $n_x = 1.718 \pm 0.005, n_y = 1.84 \pm 0.01, n_z = 1.88 \pm 0.01;$

geometric mean 1.81. Molecular refractivity 45.9 cm.⁻³. Optic orientation: X=b, Y=a, Z=c.

Optical axial angle: $2V = 60^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$, dispersion moderate r > v. Colorless.

data are given in Table 2. The long lath-shaped crystals will give preferred orientation effects unless the sample is very thoroughly ground.

The lath-like crystals could be easily cleaved and the chunky pieces were shaped to ellipsoids in a Bond sphere grinder. Specimens used for the collection of intensity data were ellipsoids with no axial ratio greater than two. An ellipsoid was prepared and the data were collected over a short period of time (four days) using Mo $K\alpha$ radiation and a proportional counter on the G. E. goniostat. Four monitor spots were used throughout the run to insure that no decomposition had occurred. Intensities for a total of 380 unique reflections were obtained by averaging equivalent reflections from a total of 775 measured and of the 380 examined, 306 were above background.

^{*} This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

Table 2. KI. Hg(CN)₂. Partial powder diffraction data

		I 			
hkl	obs.	calc.	d_o	d_c	$\sin^2 \theta_c$
020	95	100	8.071	8.095	0.0091
021	25	9	6.166	6.212	0.0154
002	4	2	4.841	4.845	0.0253
110	40	10	4.251	4.257	0.0328
022	50	13	4.145	4.157	0.0344
040	70	22	4.034	4.048	0.0363
111		1		3.897	0.0391
041	45	10	3.724	3.735	0.0426
130	4	2	3.412	3.416	0.0509
131)	100	∫ 17	—	3.221	0.0573
112 ∫	100) 88	3.196	3.198	0.0581
042	15	· 4	3.098	3.106	0.0616
023	20	5	2.995	3.000	0.0660
132	80	34	2.787	2.791	0.0763
060	10	2	2.692	2.698	0.0816
150	50	11	2.605	2.610	0.0872
061		4		2.599	0.0880
113		1	—	2.573	0.0898
043)	20	$\int 2$	9.517	∫ 2.525	0.0932
151 ∫	20	\ 3	2017	2.521	0.0935
004	35	11	2.418	2.422	0.1013
062)	60	∫ 13	9.359	∫ 2·357	0.1069
133 ∫	00) 6	2 002	2.347	0.1079
024	45	11	2.319	2.321	0.1103
152	4 0	8	2.296	2.298	0.1122
200	35	10	2.204	2.206	0.1221

 I_o : Relative peak intensities, from diffractometer measurements.

 I_c : Final atomic parameters (Table 3), average overall temperature factor, $B=3\cdot 2$, not absorption corrected.

Prior to averaging the equivalent reflections, an empirical absorption correction was applied. For KI.Hg(CN)₂ the μ (Mo $K\alpha$)=311 cm.⁻¹ and the average 0.04 mm. specimen diameter gave μr =0.6. The absorption correction was made up of a spherical absorption effect modified for the ellipsoidal specimen shape by coefficients from an empirical curve obtained from measurements on the crystal itself. Though this constituted an improvement in the data, the nonspherical absorption effect proved to be the largest source of error in the refinement of the structure. Corrections for the usual Lorentz-polarization factors were applied to the unique reflections.

Structure determination

The systematic absences (hkl: h+k odd and h0l:(h odd) l odd) were characteristic of three space groups, $Cmc2_1$, C2cm and Cmcm. Throughout the experimental phase of this investigation there was no indication of non-centric symmetry. Also, since a Wilson plot indicated a centric space group, Cmcm was chosen as the most probable space group. An 0kl Patterson projection revealed the y and z parameters of the Hg, I and K⁺ atoms. Then a full hkl Patterson function was computed for x sections at $0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{8}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and from it were deduced approximate x, y, z parameters for the three heavier atoms. Mercury was placed at the origin and a few least-squares cycles were computed in order to obtain better y parameters for I and K⁺ as well as approximate scale and isotropic temperature factors. Following this, a full $F_o(hkl)$ Fourier was computed using phases from the last least-squares cycle. The C and N peaks appeared near the Hg on a line nearly parallel to the y axis. Polarized infrared spectra from a single crystal confirmed this orientation of the cyanides.

Least-squares refinement with all five atoms and isotropic temperature factors essentially completed the refinement with an R factor of 0.120. Further leastsquares runs were made using anisotropic thermal parameters and the additional variables helped bring the R factor to below 0.100, but with little change in position parameters of the atoms. Both an F_o Fourier and a $\ensuremath{\varDelta F}$ Fourier were computed using the final parameters. No false or spurious peaks were observed.

All computational work was performed on an IBM 704 using codes prepared at Los Alamos by A. C. Larson, D. T. Cromer, and F. H. Kruse. Scattering factor values were generated within the computer using the exponential expression of Forsyth & Wells (1959). In the final stages of refinement, possible anomalous dispersion effects in mercury were examined by carrying out least-squares calculations using an $f_{\rm Hg}$ expression successively reduced by one electron increments. The resulting R factors and sums of residuals squared indicated no improvement in the structure and thus no anomalous dispersion effects from mercury.

Most least-squares calculations were made with unit weight for the $F_o(hkl)$. When an experimentally determined standard deviation of $I_o(hkl)$ was properly modified and applied to the least-squares calculation, no improvement in the structure was observed. It appears that the main limiting factor in this refinement was the X-ray absorption effect and possibly the chemical stability of the crystal.

Table 3. KI. Hg(CN)₂. Final atomic parameters at R = 0.097

	n = 0.091
	$(0, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0) +$
Hg (a)	$0, 0, 0; 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}$
I (c)	$0, y, \frac{1}{2}; 0, \overline{y}, \frac{1}{2}$
	$y = 0.4480 \pm 0.0004$
K+ (c)	$y = 0.7641 \pm 0.0013$
C (f)	$0, y, z; 0, \overline{y}, z; 0, y, \frac{1}{2} - z; 0, \overline{y}, \frac{1}{2} + z$
	$y = 0.1253 \pm 0.0033$
	$z = 0.0468 \pm 0.0059$
N (f)	$y = 0.1854 \pm 0.0030$
	$z = 0.0863 \pm 0.0068$

The final parameters are given in Table 3. The observed and calculated structure factors are presented in Table 4.

Discussion of the structure

Pertinent bond lengths, contact distances and bond angles are recorded in Table 5. Diagrammatic pictures

 d_o : Philips 114.6 mm. powder camera, Straumanis mounting λ (Cu $K\alpha$) = 1.5418 Å.

Table 4. Final observed and calculated structure factors for $KI.Hg(CN)_2$

The column headings are k, F_o and F_c . If $F_o < 0$ it was unobserved and the minus sign should be interpreted as 'less than'

1 L= 9 50 -43	-30	-30 23	2 L= 9	54 45	53 60	61 72	-30 30	3 L= 4	-32 -59		-30 -4	-31 38	69 62	211 111	1 L= 10	135 144	113 124	69 74	-30 33	2 L= 10		12 22- 40 48	72 89	0 L= 11	-32 0	41 -37	64 -54	1 L= 11 - 32 16	54 60	•												
# ~	. 0	Ξ	± °	2	14	9	æ	Ľ	- •	ון ר ב	•	2	4,	٥٥	° ±	٦	ŝ	ر س		ľ) (7 4	1 40	с "	0	2	4	# ~	- (r	•												
H= 2 L= 7 12 45 51	H= 3 L= 7	1-30 8	3 83 64 5 7 5	7 48 67	9 - 30 5	4= 4 L= 7	0 -32 -0	2 - 32 - 33	4 -32 -41		2 205 184	4 184 165	6 66 65 2 5	8 49 46	10 - 20 22	H= 1 L= 8	1 -28 27	3 72 68	5 116 121	7 133 136	9 149 159 701 011 11	11 110 124		0 165 182	2 121 145	4 117 129	6 40 49	8 46 33 10 -30 14		1 -31 10	3 60 37	0 40 0 0 40 0	U= 01 87	0 56 0.	2 75 55	4 82 71	6 94 88	8 46 37	10 - 30 4	H= I L= Y	1 44 110 3 82 178	5 62 -56
H=] L= 6 H 7 84 87	9 31 36	11 51 55	13 63 60 15 8/ 80	10 04 07 H= 2 I= 6	0 -26 2	2 66 80 1	4 74 83	6 142 154	8 145 159 10 173 151	12 102 112	14 76 79	H= 3 L= 6	1 127 160	3 118 140	21 00 1	9 -26 15	11 32 30	H= 4 L= 6	0 -31 -7	2 40 29	4 -30 37	0 03 11 u= 0 1 = 7		2 81 -72	4 102 -90	6 85 -74	8 -26 -17	10 -23 -5	17 11 23 17 51 53	H= 1 L= 7	1 -26 10	3 108 97	58 28 63 7 101 05	A 50-0	11 31 -15	13 -26 -30	H= 2 L= 7	0 -28 -0	2 48 -58	4 61 -73	8 -26 -15	10 43 -3
H= 0 L= 5 H 10 25 7	12 51 -55	14 -23 -17	16 30 -39 11 - 5	П= 1 L= / 1 26 - 26	3 149-137	5 70 -68	7 82 -83	9 - 23 3	11 23 20	15 53 58	H= 2 L= 5	0 -25 -0	2 53 55 22 25	4 90 95	6 39 100 8 40 35	10 -23 4	12 36 -45	14 -23 -16	H= 3 L= 5	1 -28 -16	3 72 -83	5 47 148		11 -23 15	13 56 48	H= 4 L= 5	0 - 30 -0	2 46 32	4 41 72 6 58	8 -26 20	H= 0 L= 6	0 48 6	2 143 115 4 130 113	4 120 100	8 217 204	10 199 202	12 140 141	14 101 101	16 45 46	H= 1 L= 6	1 201 201 2 257 238	5 112 121
H= 0 L= 4 2 363 319	4 321 284	6 124 107	8 125 119	10 47 40 17 83 70	14 91 97	16 102 110	18 94 105	H=] L= 4	1 83 82	5 108 107	7 232 228	9 263 266	11 157 171	13 148 151	17 56 58	H= 2 L= 4	0 284 311	2 208 230	4 192 205	6 77 79	8 82 82	10 - 23 31	16 00 75	14 0/ / 2 16 85 88	H= 3 L= 4	1 -26 36	3 44 62	5 97 112	0 164 167 1	11 112 109	13 93 94	H= 4 L= 4	0 131 148	70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7	6 40 34	8 31 31	10 31 8	H= 0 L= 5	0 56 -0	2 84 61	4 154 124 6 161 136	8 58 43
H= 5 L= 2 3 72 69	H= 0 L= 3	0-39-0	2 203-161	4 II/ - 40 6 JEU 171	8 30 -32	10 -19 0	12 69 66	14 62 64	16 66 60		1 45 -39	3 168 169	5 126 125	7 124 110	9 21 12 4	13 57 -56	15 28 -26	17 -23 -32	H=`2 L= 3	0 -21 -0	2 105-104	4, 71 – 80	0 102-26	8 23 -26 10 -19 -1	12 54 55	14 58 51	16 49 49	H= 3 L= 3	0- 07- 1 3 86 07	5 71 74	7 75 70	11 -21 -16	13 35 - 38 H- 4 - 38		2 52 -48	4 49 -48	6 54 -54	8 -23 -16	10 -23 -1	H= 5 L= 3	I = 32 2 H= 0 I = 4	0 464 433
H= 5 L= 1 5 35 -31	H = 0 L = 2	0 130 90	2 218 182	4 122 142 6 311 330	8 263 282	10 279 295	12 177 178	14 134 130			1 395 445	3 311 321	5 208 197	7 147 148	9 61 58 11 88 93	13 71 64	15 129 133	17 105 107	H= 2 L= 2	0 50 50	2 112 121	4 103 104	707 047 0 710 710 0	8 216 212 10 228 224	12 145 139	14 104 102	16 56 65	H= 3 L= 2	1 214 227 2 168 175	5 110 104	7 79 75	9 26 26	11 44 48	13 44 31	H= 4 1 = 2	0 - 28 8	2 32 43	4 46 44	6 118 108	8 116 105		1 89 91
H= 0 L= 1 0 28 -0	2 111 101	4 177 176	6 162 165	10 44 50 10 -16 10	12 78 -82	14 40 -35	16 51 - 53	18 -23 -7	H=] L=]	07- 10 1 2	5 95 - 91	7 139-136	9 -16 9	11 -19 21	13 /3 69 15 58 57	17 -23 24	H= 2 L= 1	0 -21 -0	2 82 76	4 133 120	6 128 120	8 39 30	71 61-01	12 66 -64 14 31 -30	16 43 -44	H= 3 L= 1	1 -23 -13	3 112-102	00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00	9 - 19 2	11 28 16	13 54 47	15 37 38	H= 4 [=]	0 = 28 =0	4 70 59	6 72 62	8 -21 18	10 -23 4	12 41 -34	H= 5 L= 1 1 -30 =7	3 52 145
H= 0 L= 0 2 270 363	4 297 349	6 176 177	8 138 130	10 00 /4	14 100 99	16 149 148	18 122 111	H=] L= 0	1 166 154	5 100 74	7 286 280	9 322 311	11 230 216	13 167 159	17 76 AD	H=2L=0	0 438 441	2 272 257	4 263 243	6 125 118	8 105 92		12 09 70	14 82 //	H = 3 L = 0	1 58 59	3 62 57	5 149 143	7 1/3 158	7 204 104 11 145 130	13 107 100	15 55 54	H= 4 L= 0	0 212 182	2 142 120 4 125 106	5 48 45	8 36 36	10 -23 14	12 32 29	H= 5 L= 0	1 -25 11	5 65 51

Table 5. KI. Hg(CN)₂. Distances and angles

В	ond lengths	Co	ontact distances
Hg–I Hg–C C–N	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \cdot 383 \pm 0 \cdot 011 \ \text{\AA} \\ 2 \cdot 079 \pm 0 \cdot 096 \\ 1 \cdot 046 \pm 0 \cdot 139 \end{array}$	N–N N–N I–C	3.172 ± 0.142 3.470 ± 0.142 3.814 ± 0.097
		1-1	$4 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 0 \cdot 0$

K⁺ coordination

K+–N	$3 \cdot 001 \pm 0 \cdot 107$
K+–N	3.360 ± 0.107
K+–I	$3 \cdot 706 \pm 0 \cdot 039$

Angles

I-Hg-I (in rectangular plane) C-Hg-N (versus 180° expected) $\begin{cases} 81\cdot4 \pm 1\cdot3\\98\cdot6 \pm 1\cdot3\\176\cdot5 \pm 2\cdot3\end{cases}$	·9°
C-Hg-N (versus 180° expected) 176.5 ± 2.3	$\cdot 5$ $\cdot 5$
Here $C = N$ (versus 180° expected) 171.0 \downarrow 8.0	·5
C-Hg-I (versus 180 expected) $\begin{cases} 171.0 \pm 32\\ 85.0 \pm 22\\ 95.0 \pm 22 \end{cases}$	·5

of the structure are presented in Figs. 1 and 2. This structure can be described as consisting of corrugated sheets of I atoms loosely held together by coordination with the mercury atoms of the $Hg(CN)_2$ groups. The $Hg(CN)_2$ portion of the structure is nearly linear and is normal to the plane of I atoms coordinated



Fig. 1. Structure of $KI.Hg(CN)_2$ viewed down X axis. Dashed lines trace corrugated sheets of iodine atoms.



Fig. 2. Structure of $KI.Hg(CN)_2$ viewed down Z axis. In the view of Hg-I coordination X is vertical and Z is the projected horizontal axis.

to it. The 139° dihedral angle in the folds of the sheets comes about from the K⁺ ion's influence in binding the sheets together. Notice in Fig. 1 that the K⁺ ion lies between two N atoms in the same yz plane and this K⁺ ion is near the I atoms above and below it. Also, there are at about $3\cdot 2$ Å two N atoms above and two below the K⁺ ion. The projection down z (Fig. 2) shows the N atoms above and below the K⁺ ion, actually closer than the two in the yz plane of the K⁺ ion. Thus, the K⁺ ion can be said to exist in an 8-fold coordination with 6 N atoms and 2 I atoms.

In the lower portion of Fig. 2 is a view down the $Hg(CN)_2$ axis normal to the rectangular (nearly square) plane of four I atoms nearest the Hg atom. The Hg–I bond length of 3.38 Å lies between the tetrahedral covalent Hg–I single bond length of 2.75 Å and van der Waals contact distance of 3.70 Å. This kind of weak 'equatorial' bonding to mercury has been observed before, as described in review by Wells (1962). Closer approach of iodine to mercury is also prevented by contact between the cyanide carbon and iodine.



Fig. 3. Sketch of the Hg(CN)₂I⁻ portion of the KI.Hg(CN)₂ structure. Large spheres represent iodine atoms; N-C-Hg-C-N groups are apparent.

In KI.Hg(CN)₂ the Hg–C bond is 2.079 ± 0.096 Å while in Hg(CN)₂ Hvoslef (1958) reports 1.986 ± 0.016 Å and summation of single covalent bond lengths predicts 2.25 Å. Our X-ray diffraction data do not allow resolution of the light atoms as well as in Hvoslef's neutron diffraction experiment. Thus, we found C =N = 1.046 ± 0.139 Å while Hvoslef obtained 1.186 ± 0.024 Å.

Though the carbon and nitrogen were not located with great precision, the bond angles in the Hg(CN)₂ group deviate only slightly from an ideal 180°. In the structure analysis of crystalline Hg(CN)₂ Hvoslef (1958) found bond angles which were less than 180° by a number of standard deviations (C-Hg-C = $171 \pm 2^{\circ}$, Hg-C-N = $173 \pm 2^{\circ}$). Interactions between mercury and nitrogen in Hg(CN)₂ account for some Table 6. KI. Hg(CN)₂. Thermal parameters

1. Anisotropic thermal parameters, $\times 10^4$ ($\pm 5\%$)

•	*	-	·- /	• /
	B_{11}	B_{22}	B_{33}	B_{23}
Hg	491	22	70	-14
I	329	33	38	
\mathbf{K}^+	452	29	104	
С	510	19	58	54
N	812	13	153	-40

2. Magnitudes and direction cosines of the principal axes of the vibration ellipsoids

		R.m.s.				
	Axis	amplitude	B_i	C_{ia}	C_{ib}	C_{ic}
Hg	1	0.22	3.82	1.00	0.0	0.0
	2	0.16	$2 \cdot 01$	0.0	0.82	0.58
	3	0.19	2.96	0.0	-0.58	0.82
I	1	0.18	2.56	1.00	0.0	0.0
	2	0.21	3.43	0.0	1.00	0.0
	3	0.13	1.41	0.0	0.0	1.00
K^+	1	0.51	3.52	1.00	0.0	0.0
	2	0.20	3.09	0.0	1.00	0.0
	3	0.22	3.91	0.0	0.0	1.00
С	1	0.22	3.97	1.00	0.0	0.0
	2	0.07	0.41	0.0	0.72	-0.69
	3	0.22	3.78	0.0	0.69	0.72
N	1	0.28	6.32	1.00	0.0	0.0
	2	0.11	1.01	0.0	0.92	0.25
	3	0.28	6·08	0.0	-0.25	0.97

of the non-linearity while in KI.Hg(CN)₂ the iodine atoms prevent this kind of interaction. Infrared studies of the crystals indicate that the Hg(CN)₂ group is linear or nearly linear, ruling out pronounced departure from 180°. Obviously, more precise carbon and nitrogen parameters are needed to resolve this problem conclusively.

The author would like to acknowledge the assistance of Mr Joe J. Finney for collecting data and of Dr Allen C. Larson and Dr Don T. Cromer for constructive discussions of crystallographic computing problems.

References

- AGGARWAL, R. C. & MEHROTRA, R. C. (1958). Z. anorg. Chem. 297, 65.
- FORSYTH, J. B. & WELLS, M. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 412.
- HVOSLEF, J. (1958). Acta Chem. Scand. 12, 1568.

PENNEMAN, R. A. & JONES, L. H. (1961). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 20, 19.

WELLS, A. F. (1962). Structural Inorganic Chemistry, p. 892. London: Oxford Univ. Press.

Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 109

Structure du Di-Nitrosométhane Cis

PAR G. GERMAIN, P. PIRET* ET M. VAN MEERSSCHE Laboratoire de Chimie-Physique, Université de Louvain, Belgique

(Reçu le 21 mai 1962)

crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$.

The unit-cell dimensions are: a = 6.03, b = 12.81, c = 5.41 Å; Z = 4. The crystal structure was solved by the interpretation of the three-dimensional Patterson function and refined by Fourier and least-squares methods down to a final R value of 0.156 for the 538 observed reflections. The molecule is planar within the experimental errors. The bond lengths and angles are: $C-N = 1.47 \pm 0.03$; $N-N = 1.31 \pm 0.02$; $N-O = 1.31 \pm 0.02$ Å; $C-N-O = 120.0 \pm 1.5$; $C-N-N = 121.5 \pm 1.5$; $O-N-N = 119.0 \pm 1.5^{\circ}$.

Introduction

Gowenlock & Trotman (1955) ont mis en évidence l'existence de deux isomères géométriques dans le di-nitrosométhane (CH_3NO_{2} :



* Chercheur qualifié du Fonds National Belge de la Recherche Scientifique.

La structure de l'isomère trans a déjà été déterminée par diffraction des R.X. (Van Meerssche & Germain, 1959). La structure électronique de ce composé a été également étudiée par la méthode des orbitales moléculaires (Van Meerssche & Leroy, 1960). La détermination de la structure de l'isomère cis fait l'objet du présent travail.

Partie expérimentale

Le monomère CH_3NO se forme lors de l'irradiation du nitrite de butyle tertiaire par les rayons U.V. (Coe & Doumani, 1948):